



PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 041993-5346

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
Myoung-Kee BAEK et al.)	Confirmation No. 4234
)	
Application No.: 10/674,508)	Group Art Unit: 2871
)	
Filed: October 1, 2003)	Examiner: T. Chowdhury
)	
For: METHOD OF FABRICATING LIQUID)	
CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE)	

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed January 14, 2005, the period for reply to which has been extended to March 14, 2005, by a petition for a one-month extension of time filed concurrently herewith, Applicants hereby elect Specie I (related to FIGs. 4A-4E, and corresponding to claims 1, 3-5, and 7-11) with traverse.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Election of Species Requirement on grounds that no undue burden would be placed upon the Examiner if both Specie I and Specie II inventions were simultaneously examined. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully assert that a search for the method of the Specie I invention would, based on the requirement that a complete and thorough search be performed by the Examiner, inherently include a

03/09/2005 SZEWDIE1 00000034 500310 10674508

01 FC:1251 120.00 DA

search within the Class/Subclass containing art directed toward the method of the Specie II invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that no undue burden would be placed upon the Examiner if the inventions of both Specie I and II were examined at the same time.

Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement and formal examination of at least the Specie I and Specie II inventions of this application.

Except for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: _____



David B. Hardy
Reg. No. 47, 362

Dated: March 7, 2005

Customer Number 009629
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: 202.739.3000
Fax: 202.739.3001